

AGENDA BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING

October 20, 2020 at 5:30 P.M.

Virtual Meeting via Zoom or Call-in

https://dakotacountymn.zoom.us/j/96662609168?pwd=R3RycG5YQ2xoUFNHUHUzYXZPRXZhQT09

Phone: 1-646-558-8656 Meeting ID: 966 6260 9168 Passcode: 603337

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of Agenda
- III. Consent Agenda (Acted with one motion unless a manager requests an item be discussed)
 A. Minutes of August 18, 2020 Meeting*
 B. October 20, 2020 Year-to-Date Financial Summary*
- IV. Watershed Based Implementation Funding*
- V. Watershed Plan Amendments*
- VI. Workplan with SWCD*
- VII. Volunteer Clean-up Ideas
- VIII. Landscaping for Clean Water Update
 - IX. Website Redesign Update
 - X. Minnesota Water Stewards Activity Updates
 - XI. Community Updates
- XII. Agenda Items for December 8, 2020 Meeting
- XIII. Adjournment

* Materials included in packet

EAGAN-INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WATERSHED MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION

DRAFT MINUTES BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING August 18, 2020

The Eagan-Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management Organization (WMO) Board of Managers (Board) met virtually via Zoom due to the State guidance for meetings during the pandemic.

Board Managers Present:

Joe Reymann, Chair	Appointed by City of Eagan
Sharon Lencowski	Appointed by City of Inver Grove Heights
Sarah Saito	Appointed by City of Inver Grove Heights
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Appointed by City of Eagan
Monica Foss	Appointed by City of Eagan

Others Present:

Ashley Gallagher	Resource Conservationist, Dakota County Soil and Water Conservation District
Steve Dodge	Assistant City Engineer, City of Inver Grove Heights
Eric Macbeth	Water Resources Manager, City of Eagan

I. Call to Order

Chair Reymann called the meeting to order at 5:32 p.m.

II. Adopt Agenda

Motion by Foss, second by Workman-Jesness to approve the agenda. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes
Monica Foss	Yes

III. Approve Consent Agenda

- A. Minutes of June 16, 2020 Meeting
- B. Invoices for Payment
- C. August 18, 2020 Year-to-Date Financial Summary

Motion by Workman-Jesness, second by Saito to approve the consent agenda. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes
Monica Foss	Yes

IV. Watershed Based Implementation Funding

The group has met virtually and decided upon criteria and points. The funding buckets have not been decided upon yet. It is proposed to divide into three buckets: projects and practices, education and feasibility. E-IGHWMO Plan is largely education activities, so dividing into buckets would be beneficial. Activities must be in Implementation Table section of the Plan in order to be eligible. This will tie into the Plan amendment discussion. There could be opportunity to add to the Implementation Table. An idea may be adding educational signage or outreach based on the new stormwater treatment practices around Eagan City Hall.

V. Watershed Plan Amendments

The Implementation Table is for the 10-year Plan but CIP activities currently only go through this year. A minor Plan amendment would allow for updating CIPs and ensuring the Implementation Table allows for activities to be referenced for WBIF. The City of Eagan has a 5-year advancing CIP process, and could look at this for ideas. IGH not really in place budget wise or land wise to go for Lower MN WBIF funding. IGH would like to see water quality monitoring as an eligible activity. It is difficult to submit projects without supporting data. Next steps will be to bring ideas to next meeting, look at CIPs, have Melissa explain the minor Plan amendment process and then decide whether to proceed with a minor amendment or not.

VI. Volunteer Clean-up Ideas

Discussion on having a clean-up either large scale or on a neighborhood basis. The Board can decide early next spring and try to use partnerships to promote. Eagan is planning four aluminum sulfate treatments, two of the lakes have lots of residents (Hay Lake and Bald Lake). Eagan is planning virtual outreach. A contract for the treatments would be awarded September 15th and outreach to occur after that. Aluminum sulfate applications would occur this year still, latest would be late October. Idea to use these two lakes as pilot lakes to get a newsletter going by the residents. Debris becomes clear after aluminum sulfate treatments, so another idea is that volunteers could potentially mark/inventory for removal. Getting the word out about any event is a challenge. One thought is to have a schedule prepared for Lakefest. Maybe have lake groups submit a proposal for selection for clean-up. Pair event with a fishing program and have people clean-up in order to fish. It was asked if some lakes have more trash. This is not known; however, Patrick Eagan Park is typically a problem, some citizens working on this. Hay lake has a church, with an SWCD project, clean-up could be more of a 'party' with multiple activities.

VII. Communication Tools

Abby started a newsletter in her neighborhood for Bald Lake. She would be willing to give a presentation/training on newsletter creation upon request. There was discussion on how the Board could encourage local eNewsletters. Could check rain barrel distribution list or reach out to existing MWS. Would not be a WMO activity to do the newsletters, hoping citizens take this on as volunteers for their specific lake. Volunteers may need a lot of assistance from the WMO on content. Current group of MWS is not likely to take this activity on. Some lakes have had a newsletter but then fell apart. Email list is big component, and Board would be interested in how Abby got this started for Bald Lake.

VIII. Landscaping for Clean Water Update

While the program for design was virtual, there are still similar project numbers to past years. It is anticipated that 8 of the 12 grants for the E-IGHWMO watershed will be used, possibly more if another grant round is opened. Participants have been very pleased with virtual format for design and in person assistance with layout and construction.

IX. Website Redesign Update

Contract has been executed between the SWCD and 144Design. Initial meetings have started. If the Board has any suggestions on content or redesign, send them to Ashley within the next month. It was suggested to make sure that any pictures be of lakes and resources within the watershed.

X. Master Water Stewards Activity Updates

There have not been many activities due to covid19. Joe has taken some online courses, including the UMN turf grass management and an irrigation assessment course. The content overlapped a lot with the Master Water Stewards Training.

XI. Community Updates

Inver Grove Heights has new development occurring, which means City staff are involved in stormwater management efforts. The part-time stormwater staff is now full-time. New stormwater projects also arise during their Pavement Management Program (PMP).

Eagan City council to decide on upgrading aluminum sulfate storage building, also looking to amend city code on outdoor salt storage. Working on restoration management plan for easement areas. There is one month of water quality monitoring left. In-lake plant harvesting is complete for the year. For the discussion on amending the Implementation Table in the Watershed Plan, there is map of Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) online. Eric will send out link. There was a question and some discussion on Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and if cities are finding them in sediment during pond cleanouts. Some ponds have PAH but at varying levels. There is a national lawsuit for PAH contamination that some cities are participating in.

Gallagher announced that Black Dog WMO sent notification that they are beginning their next generation Plan. Neighboring organizations are required to be notified and given opportunity to submit priorities. E-IGHWMO and Black Dog do not share water resources and therefore have no comment.

XII. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

- Volunteer clean-up
- Plan amendment
- Website
- WBIF

XIII. Adjournment

Motion by Saito, second by Workman-Jesness to adjourn the meeting. Meeting adjourned at 7:30 pm.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes
Monica Foss	Yes

E-IGHWMO 2020		Actual Revenues						
ESTIMATED REVENUES	Budget	Jan 1 - Feb 4 2020	Feb 5 - Apr 21 2020	Apr 22 - Jun 16 2020	Jun 17 - Aug 18 2020	Aug 19 - Oct 20 2020	Oct 21 - Dec 8 2020	Year-to-Date Totals
Member City Assessments								
Eagan	\$44,340.00		\$44,339.71					\$44,339.7
Inver Grove Heights	\$1,285.00		\$1,285.29					\$1,285.2
Watershed Based Funding Grant								
50% initial amount	\$62,080.00							\$0.0
40% upon expediture of initial	\$49,664.00							\$0.0
10% upon grant completion	\$12,416.00							\$0.0
Other	\$0.00							\$0.00
Use of Fund Balance	\$7,975.00							\$0.00
	\$177,760.00	\$0.00	\$45,625.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$45,625.00
ESTIMATED EXPENSES	Budget							
Work Program								
A. File Annual Activity Report, Finance Report and Audit	\$4,500.00				\$3,200.00			\$3,200.00
B. Publish/Distribute Annual Newsletter or Communication	\$300.00							\$0.0
C. Maintain Web Site	\$1,000.00	\$220.00	\$690.00		\$290.00			\$1,200.0
D. Board Education	\$500.00							\$0.0
E. Implement Watershed Plan								
1. Support Existing Programs	\$18,800.00	\$7,500.00			\$4,800.00			\$12,300.0
2. WMO Education and Outreach Programs	\$3,000.00		\$119.00					\$119.00
Organizational Administration								
Staff Services (general)	\$20,000.00	\$4,809.25	\$3,890.00		\$3,250.00			\$11,949.2
Engineering and Consulting Services (general)	\$4,000.00							\$0.00
Legal Consulting Services (general)	\$500.00							\$0.0
Watershed Based Funding Grant								
Administration	\$6,208.00	\$62.65	\$721.73					\$784.3
Projects	\$117,952.00	\$44,598.00						\$44,598.00
Watershed Plan Reserve	\$1,000.00							\$0.00
TOTAL	\$177,760.00	\$57,189.90	\$5,420.73	\$0.00	\$11,540.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$74,150.63
Balance	\$150,349.88 ¹	\$93,159.98	\$133,364.25	\$133,364.25	\$121,824.25	\$121,824.25	\$121,824.25	-\$28,525.63
1 = 2019 Balance Carry Over								

BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

Watershed-Based Implementation Funding Policy – FY20-21



From the Board of Water and Soil Resources, State of Minnesota

Effective Date:	: 9/25/2019
Approval:	Board Resolution #19-54
Duration:	Availability and use of funds appropriated by Laws of Minnesota 2019, 1 st Special Session,
	Chapter 2, Article 2, Section 7 (a).

Policy Statement

The Clean Water Fund was established to implement part of Article XI, Section 15, of the Minnesota Constitution, and Minnesota Statutes §114D with the purpose of protecting, enhancing, and restoring water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams and to protect groundwater and drinking water sources from degradation.

Reason for the policy

The purpose of this policy is to provide expectations for implementation activities conducted via the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) Clean Water Fund (CWF) Watershed-based Implementation Funding program as defined by the Clean Water Fund appropriation under Laws of Minnesota 2019, 1st Special Session, Chapter 2, Article 2, Section 7 (a).

These funds are specifically to be used to advance Minnesota's water resource goals through prioritized and targeted cost-effective actions with measurable water quality results.

BWSR will use grant agreements for assurance of deliverables and compliance with appropriate statutes, rules and established policies. Willful or negligent disregard of relevant statutes, rules and policies may lead to imposition of financial penalties or future sanctions on the grant recipient.

BWSR's Grants Administration Manual (<u>http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/grants/manual/</u>) provides the primary framework for local management of all state grants administered by BWSR.

Program Requirements

1. Local Governmental Unit Eligibility Criteria

For areas outside of the seven-county Twin Cities Metropolitan Area: counties, soil and water conservation districts, watershed management organizations, watershed districts and other local governments that have a current state approved and locally adopted comprehensive watershed management plan authorized under Minnesota statutes §103B.101, Subd. 14 or §103B.801. To be eligible, local governments must have entered into an implementation agreement with other members of the planning partnership. If a local government within the geographic area of the plan has not adopted the plan, these funds can still be spent on implementation in that area by another eligible local government.

In the seven-county Twin Cities Metropolitan (Metro) Area: counties, watershed districts, watershed management organizations, soil and water conservation districts, and municipalities¹ having a current state approved and locally adopted watershed management plan as required under §103B.231, county groundwater plan authorized under §103B.255, or soil and water conservation district comprehensive plan under Minnesota statutes §103C.331, Subd. 11. Participants, including one representative from each watershed district, watershed management organization, soil and water conservation district², county with a county groundwater plan, and at least two municipalities, must coordinate within the designated watershed-based funding boundaries to develop a watershed-based funding budget request that is prioritized, targeted and measurable. BWSR reserves the right for the Executive Director to determine if sufficient coordination exists to meet the goals of the program. Appeals of an Executive Director decision may be made to the BWSR Central Region Committee.

All recipients must be in compliance with applicable federal, State, and local laws, policies, ordinances, rules, and regulations. Recipients who have previously received a grant from BWSR must be in compliance with BWSR requirements for grantee website and eLINK reporting before grant execution and payment.

2. Match Requirements

A non-State match equal to at least 10% of the amount of the Watershed-Based Implementation Funding received is required. Match can be provided by landowners, land occupiers, private organizations, local governments or other non-State sources and can be in the form of cash or the cash value of services or materials contributed to the accomplishment of grant objectives.

3. Eligible Activities

The primary purpose of activities funded through this program is to implement projects and programs that protect, enhance, and restore surface water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams; protect groundwater from degradation; and protect drinking water sources. Eligible activities must be identified in the implementation

¹ Municipalities (cities and townships) in the seven-county metropolitan area are eligible if they have a water plan that has been approved by a watershed district or a watershed management organization as provided under Minn. Stat. 103B.235. ² Including Hennepin and Ramsey Counties if they have an annual work plan authorized under Minn. Statute 103C.331.

section of a state approved, locally adopted comprehensive watershed management plan developed under Minnesota statutes §103B.101, Subd. 14 or §103B.801, watershed management plan required under §103B.231, county groundwater plan authorized under §103B.255, or Metro soil and water conservation district annual work plan authorized under §103C.331 and the activity must have a primary benefit towards water quality. Activities must be first submitted through a budget request and work plan that will be reviewed by BWSR. The work plan must be approved by BWSR prior to funds being distributed.

Eligible activities can consist of structural practices and projects; non-structural practices and programs; program and project support, including staffing; and grant management and reporting. Technical and engineering assistance necessary to implement these activities are considered essential and are eligible to be included. Activities that result in multiple benefits are strongly encouraged.

- 3.1 **Effective Life**. All structural practices must be designed and maintained for a minimum effective life of ten years for best management practices and 25 years for capital improvement practices. The beginning date for a practice's effective life is the same date final payment is approved and the project is considered complete. Where questions arise under this section, the effective lifespan of structural practices and projects shall be defined by current and acceptable design standards or criteria as defined in Section 3.7.
- 3.2 **Project Assurances.** The grantee must provide assurances that land owners or land occupiers receiving this funding will keep the practice in place for its intended use for the expected lifespan of the practice. Such assurances may include easements, deed recordings, enforceable contracts, performance bonds, letters of credit, and termination or performance penalties. BWSR may allow replacement of a practice or project that does not comply with expected lifespan requirements with a practice or project that provides equivalent water quality benefits. See also the Projects Assurances chapter of the Grants Administration Manual.
- 3.3 **Operation, Maintenance and Inspections.** All practice designs must include identification of operation and maintenance activities specific to the installed practices. An operation and maintenance plan is critical to ongoing performance of installed practices as well as to planning and scheduling those activities and must be prepared by designated technical staff for the life of the practice. An inspection schedule, procedure, and assured access to the practice site shall be included as a component of maintaining the effectiveness of the practice.
- 3.4 **Technical and Administrative Expenses.** Eligible activities include actual technical and administrative expenses to advance plan implementation, site investigations and assessments, design and cost estimates, construction or installation supervision, and inspections. Technical and administrative expenditures must be documented according to the Grants Administration Manual.
- 3. 5 **Project Support.** Eligible activities include community engagement, education and outreach, equipment and other activities, which directly support or supplement the goals and outcomes expected with the implementation of items identified in the plan consistent with the purposes of these funds. Project support expenditures must be appropriately documented according to the Grants Administration Manual. Refer to guidance within the Grants Administration Manual for Capital Equipment Purchases.

- 3.6 **Grant Management and Reporting**. Eligible activities include local grant administration, management, and reporting that are directly related to and necessary for implementing the project or activity. All grant recipients are required to report on the outcomes, activities, and accomplishments of Clean Water Fund grants. Grant management and reporting expenditures must be documented according to the Grants Administration Manual.
- 3.7 **Practice Standards**. All practices must be consistent with the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG), Minnesota Stormwater Manual, or be professionally accepted engineering or ecological practices. Design standards for all practices must include specifications for operation and maintenance for the effective life of the given practice, including an inspection schedule and procedure.

Livestock Waste Management Practices. Eligible activities are limited to: livestock management systems that were constructed before **October 23, 2000**; and livestock operations registered with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Database or its equivalent, not classified as a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO), and with less than 500 animal units (AUs) in accordance with Minnesota Rule Chapter 7020. BWSR reserves the right to deny, postpone or cancel funding where financial penalties related to livestock waste management violations have been imposed on the operator. Eligible practices and project components must meet all applicable local, State, and federal standards and permitting requirements.

- a. Funded projects must be in compliance with standards in MN Rule Chapter 7020 upon completion.
- b. Eligible practices are limited to best management practices listed by the Minnesota NRCS.
- c. Feedlot roof structures are eligible up to \$100,000 per project. Funding is not eligible for projects already receiving flat rate payment equaling or exceeding this amount from the NRCS or other State grant funds.
- d. Feedlot relocations are eligible, up to \$100,000 per project. Funding is not eligible for projects already receiving flat rate payment equaling or exceeding this amount from the NRCS or other State grant funds. The existing eligible feedlot must be permanently closed in accordance with local and State requirements. The existing and relocated livestock waste management systems sites are considered one project for grant funding.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

- a. Eligible activities are limited to identified imminent threat to public health systems (ITPHS) and systems that fail to protect groundwater. Project landowners must meet low income thresholds. Low income guidelines from U.S Rural Development are strongly encouraged as the basis for the definition of low income.
- b. Proposed community wastewater treatment solutions involving multiple landowners are eligible for funding, but must be listed on the MPCA's Project Priority List (PPL) and have a Community Assessment Report (CAR) or facilities plan [Minn. Rule 7077.0272] developed prior to work plan submittal. For community wastewater system applications that include ITPHS, systems that fail to protect groundwater are also eligible.

c. Connecting a home to a sewer line and/or municipal waste water treatment plant (WWTP) in an unsewered area is eligible, if the criteria in a. or b. above are met.

Multipurpose Drainage Management. Funds can be used as an external source of funding for Minnesota Statutes § 103E.011 Subd 5 to facilitate multi-purpose drainage management practices to reduce erosion and sedimentation, reduce peak flows and flooding, and improve water quality, while protecting drainage system efficiency and reducing drainage system maintenance for priority Chapter 103E drainage systems.

Eligible activities must be conducted on, adjacent to, or within the watershed of a priority Minnesota Statutes Chapter 103E Drainage System(s), defined as an established system that has priority sediment and/or water quality concerns, and may include structural practices meeting the primary purpose to protect or improve water quality under Minnesota Statues 103E.015.

Any storage and treatment wetland restoration requires a perpetual easement for storage and treatment and associated benefits to be held by the Chapter 103E drainage system. Easements must be approved by BWSR and the total state easement payment, shall not exceed current standard Reinvest in Minnesota (RIM) rates.

3.8 **Non-Structural Practices and Measures**. Eligible practices include non-structural practices and activities that supplement or exceed current minimum State standards or procedures for protection, enhancement, and restoration of water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams or that protect groundwater from degradation. Non-structural vegetative practices must follow the Native Vegetation Establishment and Enhancement Guidelines: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/native_vegetation/seeding_guidelines.pdf.

In-lake or in-channel treatment. Eligible practices include management practices such as rough fish management, vegetation management, lake drawdown, and alum treatments that have been identified as an implementation activity in a TMDL study or Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategies report and /or in a comprehensive watershed management plan or metro watershed management plan. Eligible expenses include only initial costs for design and implementation. All subsequent applications and treatments under this subsection are considered to be a local operation and maintenance expense responsibility. A feasibility study must be completed, reviewed and approved by BWSR staff prior to these activities being proposed in a grant work plan. The feasibility study must include:

- a. Lake and watershed information (at minimum, include lake morphology and depth, summary of water quality information, and the assessment of aquatic invasive species);
- b. Description of internal load vs. external load reductions;
- c. History of projects completed in the watershed, as well as other in-lake treatments if applicable;
- d. Cost benefit analysis of treatment options;
- e. Projected effective life of the proposed treatment; and
- f. For activities related to rough fish (example carp), the feasibility study must also include:
 - i. Methods to estimate adult and juvenile carp populations;
 - ii. Description of the interconnectedness of waterbodies (lakes, ponds, streams, wetlands, etc.);
 - iii. Identification of nursery areas;

- iv. Methods to track carp movement;
- v. Proposed actions to limit recruitment and movement; and
- vi. Proposed actions to reduce adult carp populations,

Incentives. Eligible practices may include incentives to help landowners mitigate risk to install or adopt land management practices that improve or protect water quality. Incentive payments should be reasonable and justifiable, supported by grant recipient policy, consistent with prevailing local conditions, and must be based on established standards. BWSR reserves the right to review and approve incentive payment rates established by grant recipient policy.

a. **Duration**. Incentives to install or adopt land management practices must have a minimum duration of 3 years with a goal of ongoing landowner adoption unless otherwise approved by BWSR. Any projects proposing incentives other than 3-years must be reviewed by BWSR staff and approved by the Assistant Director of Regional Operations prior to work plan approval.

Easements. Eligible practices include easements. Easements and payment amounts must be reviewed and approved by BWSR staff prior to expenditure of grant funds to acquire an easement. When implementing perpetual easements, state easement payments shall not exceed current standard Reinvest in Minnesota (RIM) rates.

Ordinance Development. Eligible practices include development of ordinances to protect water quality (example: Minimal Impact Design Standards) that supplement existing federal/state/local requirements.

4. Ineligible Activities

The following activities will not be considered:

- a. Activities that do not have a primary benefit of groundwater and surface water quality.
- b. Water quality monitoring (such as, but not limited, to: diagnostic, effectiveness, routine and/or baseline).
- c. Household water conservation appliances and water fixtures.
- d. Wastewater treatment systems with the exception of certain Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (see 3.7)
- e. Municipal drinking water supply facilities or individual drinking water treatment systems
- f. Stormwater conveyances that collect and move runoff, but do not provide water quality treatment benefit.
- g. Replacement, realignment or creation of bridges, trails or roads.
- h. Aquatic plant harvesting.
- i. Routine maintenance activities or repair of capital equipment and infrastructure within the effective life of existing practices or projects.
- j. Feedlots (see 3.7)
 - 1) Feedlot expansions beyond state registered number of animal units.
 - 2) Slats placed on top of manure storage structures.
- k. Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS):

- 1) Small community wastewater treatment systems serving over 10,000 gallons per day with a soil treatment system, and
- 2) A small community wastewater treatment system that discharges treated sewage effluent directly to surface waters without land treatment.
- I. Drainage management
 - Drain tile, except for tile outlets required for water and sediment control basins, tile required to make eligible drainage water management practices function, tile required to collect and move runoff to treatment system, and dense pattern tile to replace open tile inlet(s).
 - 2) Ditching except if needed for the creation of a storage and treatment wetland restoration.
 - 3) Back-flow preventing flap gates on side inlet structure pipes where a system-wide analysis has not been completed.
 - 4) Continuous berms greater than an average of 3 feet high (above existing ground) along Chapter 103E drainage ditches.
- m. Fee title land acquisition (costs may count towards match).
- n. Buffers or other alternative practices that are required by law (e.g. Buffer Law, Drainage Law, Shoreland Law).
- o. Contribution to a contingency or reserve fund or payment(s) to an equipment replacement fund that extends beyond the grant agreement period.

5. Technical Expertise

The grantee has the responsibility to ensure that the designated technical staff have the appropriate technical expertise, skills and training for their assigned role(s). See also the Technical Quality Assurances chapter of the Grants Administration Manual.

- 5.1 Technical Assistance Provider. Grantees must identify the technical assistance provider(s) for the practice or project and their credentials for providing this assistance. The technical assistance provider(s) must have appropriate credentials for practice investigation, design, and construction. Credentials can include conservation partnership Job Approval Authority (JAA), also known as technical approval authority; applicable professional licensure; reputable vendor with applicable expertise and liability coverage; or other applicable credentials, training, and/or experience.
- 5.2 **Practice or Project Construction and Sign-off**. Local governments receiving these funds shall have the assigned technical assistance provider(s) certify that the practice or project was properly installed and completed according to the plans and specifications, including technically approved modifications, prior to authorization for payment.
- 5.3 **BWSR Review**. BWSR reserves the right to review the qualifications of all persons providing technical assistance and review the technical project design if a recognized standard is not available.

6. Grant Administration

6.1 Work Plans, Reporting, and Reconciliation. BWSR staff is authorized to develop grant agreements and requirements and processes for work plans, project outcomes reporting, fiscal reconciliations, and grant

closeouts. All grantees must follow the Grants Administration Manual policy and guidance including requirements for proposed work plan revisions and grant amendments. BWSR reserves the right to:

- 1. Consider the extent of direct implementation activities and proposed outcomes in the approval of grant work plan;
- 2. Not approve all or a portion of a work plan if proposed work is not consistent with the purposes of these funds;
- 3. Modify, suspend, or cancel the grant agreement at any time if work under the grant agreement is found by BWSR to be unsatisfactory.

In the event there is a violation of the terms of the grant agreement, BWSR will enforce the grant agreement and evaluate appropriate actions, up to and including repayment of 100% of grant funds.

6.2. Approval of Expenditures. The grantee board has the authority and responsibility to approve the expenditure of funds within their own organization. The approval or denial of individual expenditures of funds must be documented in the grantee board's meeting minutes.

7. Assurance Measures

Watershed-based Implementation Funding Assurance Measures are based upon fiscal integrity and accountability for achieving measurable progress towards water quality elements of watershed management or comprehensive watershed management plans. Assurance measures will be used as a means to help grantees meaningfully assess, track, and describe use of these grant funds to achieve clean water goals through prioritized, targeted, and measureable implementation. The following assurance measures are supplemental to existing reporting and on-going grant monitoring efforts.

- 1. Prioritized, targeted, and measurable work is making progress toward achieving clean water goals.
- 2. Programs, projects, and practices are being implemented in priority areas.
- 3. Grant work is on-schedule and on-budget.
- 4. Leverage of non-state funds.

History

This version is the first for this policy

MINNESOTA RULES

8410.0140

8410.0140 PLAN AMENDMENTS.

Subpart 1. Amendment section.

A. Each plan must contain a section entitled "Amendments to Plan" containing the year the plan extends to and establishing the process by which amendments, as defined in this part, may be made and who may initiate the amendments.

B. A plan must extend at least five years but no more than ten years from the date the board approves the plan.

C. An organization must evaluate the implementation actions in its plan with the annual activity report under part 8410.0150, subpart 3, item E, at a minimum of every two years. If changes to the implementation actions are necessary as a result of the evaluation, then a plan amendment is required unless otherwise provided under subpart 1a.

Subp. 1a. **Changes not requiring an amendment.** These changes to plans must be distributed according to subpart 5 with a version showing deleted text as stricken and new text as underlined. Amendments to plans are not required for changes such as:

A. formatting or reorganization of the plan;

- B. revision of a procedure meant to streamline administration of the plan;
- C. clarification of existing plan goals or policies;
- D. inclusion of additional data not requiring interpretation;
- E. expansion of public process; or
- F. adjustments to how an organization will carry out program activities within its discretion.

Subp. 2. Amendment procedure. All amendments to a plan must adhere to the review process provided in Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.231, subdivision 11, except when the proposed amendments are determined to be minor amendments according to the following provisions:

A. the board has either agreed that the amendments are minor or failed to act within five working days of the end of the comment period specified in item B unless an extension is mutually agreed to with the organization;

B. the organization has sent copies of the amendments to the plan review authorities for review and comment allowing at least 30 days for receipt of comments, has identified the minor amendment procedure is being followed, and directed that comments be sent to the organization and the board;

C. no county board has filed an objection to the amendments with the organization and the board within the comment period specified in item B unless an extension is mutually agreed upon by the county and the organization;

MINNESOTA RULES

D. the organization has held a public meeting to explain the amendments and published a legal notice of the meeting twice, at least seven days and 14 days before the date of the meeting; and

E. the amendments are not necessary to make the plan consistent with an approved and adopted county groundwater plan.

Subp. 3. [Repealed, 40 SR 6]

Subp. 4. Form of amendments. Draft and final amendments may be sent electronically. A receiving entity may request to receive an amendment in paper format. Draft amendments must show deleted text as stricken and new text as underlined. Unless the entire document is redone, all final amendments adopted by the organization must be in the form of replacement pages for the plan with each page renumbered as appropriate and each page including the effective date of the amendment.

Subp. 5. **Distribution of amendments.** Each organization must maintain a distribution list of agencies and individuals who have received a copy of the plan. An organization shall distribute copies of amendments to all on the distribution list and post the amendments on the organization's website within 30 days of adoption.

Statutory Authority: *MS s 103B.101; 103B.211; 103B.227; 103B.231; 103B.235; 103B.239* **History:** *17 SR 146; 40 SR 6* **Published Electronically:** *September 10, 2018*

 Table 5.1. Eagan-Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management Plan Implementation Plan.

	Goal/Policy	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Expenses:	·			•	•						
OPERATING EXPENSES											
Work Program											
Regulatory Program (completed by the cities)	A(a), A(b), A(c), B(a), B(b), B(c), B(d), C(a),D(b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monitoring Program (completed by the cities)	B(d), D(a)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Communication and Outreach Program	A(d), B(e), B(f), C(d), E(a), E(b), E(c), E(d)										
Newsletter or Communication		300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Develop/Maintain Web Site		1,600	1,600	1,600	1,650	1,650	1,700	1,700	1,750	1,750	1,800
Communication and Outreach		4,500	4,500	6,000	6,000	7,500	7,500	9,000	9,000	9,500	9,500
Landscaping for Water Quality Workshops		4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
Landscaping for Water Quality Cost Share		6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
Administrative											
Staff services (general)		19,000	19,000	19,500	19,500	20,000	20,000	20,500	20,500	21,000	21,000
Annual report, financial report, and audit		5,000	5,000	5,200	5,200	5,400	5,400	5,600	5,600	5,800	5,800
Engineering consulting services (general)		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,500	5,500	5,500	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,500
Legal consulting services (general)		500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE		\$46,400	\$46,400	\$48,600	\$49,150	\$51 <i>,</i> 350	\$51,400	\$54,100	\$54,150	\$55,350	\$55,900
Revenues:		• •	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	• •	. ,	. ,	• •	
Member Dues		\$38,400	\$46,375	\$48,575	\$49,125	\$51,325	51,375	54,075	54,125	55,325	55,875
Eagan		37,317	45,067	47,205	47,740	49,877	49,926	52,550	52,599	53,765	54,299
Inver Grove Heights		1,083	1,308	1,370	1,385	1,448	1,449	1,525	1,526	1,560	1,576
Interest & Dividends		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
(To) from Reserve		7,975	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE		\$46,400	\$46,400	\$48,600	\$49,150	\$51,350	\$51,400	\$54,100	\$ 54,150	\$ 55,350	\$55,900
Capital Improvement Program:											
Eagan:											
Lake Protection Actions	A(d), B(a), B(e), E(a), E(c), E(d)	\$515,000	\$1,210,000	\$250,000	\$120,000	75,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lake TMDLs Load Reduction Projects	A(d), B(a), B(e), E(a), E(c), E(d)	-	682,000	1,864,000	2,234,200	3,205,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MS4 Maintenance Requirements	B(a)	407,500	172,500	1,089,500	506,500	242,500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE		\$407,500	\$2,064,500	\$3,203,500	\$2,860,700	\$3,522,500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Capital projects are funded 100% by the member cities, supplemented by grants or other sources when available.

N/A: The City of Eagan has not developed a CIP for the period 2021-2025.

2021 Dakota County SWCD Work Plan and Budget

Prepared for

Eagan – Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management Organization

SK – ADMINISTRATION	COST ESTIMATE		
Board Meeting Preparation based on 6 meetings			
 Coordinate and assemble Board packet materials including agenda, minutes, financials and support information. 	100 hours @ \$80/hour = \$8,0		
 Maintain and update database of Board member addresses, agency staff and partners. 	100 Hours @ 380/Hour - 38,00		
• Distribute Board packets to members, alternates, plus partners.			
Annual Report Requirements, Plans, Financial Reports			
 Annual Report-Due to BWSR each March, includes financial report plus current year's plan. 	30 hours @ \$80/hour = \$2,40		
Annual Newsletter – meets requirements for communication material			
Coordination of financial audit			
Annual Budget Development			
• Prepare annual budget for Board consideration and adoption.	15 hours @ \$80/hour = \$1,20		
General Correspondence, Work Plans, Coordination, Grant Writing, and WMO Programs			
• Draft letters, work plans, grants or documents on various issues as requested.	30 hours @ \$80/hour = \$2,40		
 Coordinate with member cities, state agencies and regional organizations. 			
Printing and Postage	\$20		
Subtotal	\$14,20		

TASK – EDUCATION AND OUTREACH	COST ESTIMATE
Landscaping for Clean Water Workshops	
Conduct Introduction Workshop (one evening)	Intro Workshop = \$1,600
Conduct Design Workshops (two evenings)	Design Workshops = \$3,200
 Conduct Maintenance Workshop (one evening) 	Maintenance Workshop = \$1,600
Website Updates, Maintenance and Redesign	
 Maintain E-IGH WMO website and post meeting minutes, agendas, and other information as provided or required. 	16 hours @\$80/hour = \$1,280
Website hosting fee.	\$960
Website redesign and new domain.	\$4,225
Subtotal	\$12,865

TASK – TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE/PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION	COST ESTIMATE
 Cost Share Program - Landscaping for Clean Water Installs Staff time for technical assistance. Provide cost share to landowners for up to 12 projects including raingardens, native plantings and shoreline stabilization projects consistent with SWCD cost share policies. 	Technical Assistance = \$6,000 Landowner Incentives: \$250/project x 12 projects = \$3,000
Subtotal	\$9,000

Total Not To Exceed = \$36,065