



Eagan - Inver Grove Heights

Watershed Management Organization

AGENDA

BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING

August 18, 2020 at 5:30 P.M.

Virtual Meeting via Zoom or Call-in

<https://dakotacountymn.zoom.us/j/91913720230?pwd=VFUrcm03UU1UazgwM0VUeFNLWWpHZz09>

Phone: 1-646-558-8656

Meeting ID: 919 1372 0230

Passcode: 977221

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of Agenda
- III. Consent Agenda (*Acted with one motion unless a manager requests an item be discussed*)
 - A. Minutes of June 16, 2020 Meeting*
 - B. Invoices for Payment*
 - C. August 18, 2020 Year-to-Date Financial Summary*
- IV. Watershed Based Implementation Funding*
- V. Watershed Plan Amendments*
- VI. Volunteer Clean-up Ideas
- VII. Communication Tools
 - a. Newsletters
 - b. Next Door Posts
- VIII. Landscaping for Clean Water Update
- IX. Website Redesign Update
- X. Master Water Stewards Activity Updates
- XI. Community Updates
- XII. Agenda Items for October 20, 2020 Meeting
- XIII. Adjournment

* Materials included in packet

A Joint Powers Organization of the Cities of Eagan and Inver Grove Heights

3830 Pilot Knob Road, Eagan, MN 55122-1810

Phone: (651) 675-5300

EAGAN-INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WATERSHED MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION

DRAFT MINUTES BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING June 16, 2020

The Eagan-Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management Organization (WMO) Board of Managers (Board) met virtually via Zoom due to the State guidance for meetings during the pandemic.

Board Managers Present:

Joe Reymann, Chair	Appointed by City of Eagan
Sharon Lencowski	Appointed by City of Inver Grove Heights
Sarah Saito	Appointed by City of Inver Grove Heights
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Appointed by City of Eagan

Others Present:

Ashley Gallagher	Resource Conservationist, Dakota County Soil and Water Conservation District
Steve Dodge	Assistant City Engineer, City of Inver Grove Heights
Eric Macbeth	Water Resources Manager, City of Eagan

I. Call to Order

Chair Reymann called the meeting to order at 5:32 p.m.

II. Adopt Agenda

Motion by Reymann, second by Workman-Jesness to approve the agenda with addition of item 8, Master Water Stewards Program. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

III. Approve Consent Agenda

- A. **Minutes of February 4, 2020 Meeting**
- B. **Invoices for Payment**
- C. **June 16, 2020 Year-to-Date Financial Summary**
- D. **Audit Report for 2019**

Motion by Workman-Jesness, second by Saito to approve the consent agenda. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

IV. Lower MN One Watershed, One Plan

A group of approximately 25 potential planning partners met in February to discuss a planning grant application to Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR). Different planning boundary options were discussed. Boundaries could affect the level of participation require of E-IGHWMO. Planning process can be anticipated to be two to three years. Different levels of participation include Steering Team (high commitment) or Technical Advisory Group (lower commitment). No decision needed form E-IGHWMO on whether they would participate or not, but the group would like some discussion to occur. General consensus from the Board is to participate at a lower level if it makes sense. The next meeting of potential partners will occur in July.

V. Watershed Based Implementation Funding

The first convene meeting was held April 29th via Zoom. The group determined they would operate on a super majority vote which is seven of the nine voting members. Approximately ten others participated in the call. The second meeting was via Zoom on May 20th. The group started discussing criteria. It was decided to divide funding into categories similar to what BWSR had for the competitive grants including projects/practices, feasibility studies and education/outreach. The E-IGHWMO Watershed Plan has many education activities so the categories that the convene group has created will be helpful.

VI. Landscaping for Clean Water Virtual Programming

It became apparent to the SWCD that due to Covid19 the LCW program would have to change. Staff turned the introduction presentation and design classes into an all virtual platform. Participation numbers have still been close to a normal year. After the first round of applications, four of the twelve grants from the E-IGHWMO have been approved. The SWCD billing for the program this year will be different. The workplan typically has a cost per introduction and design in each watershed. Since no physical classes were held the SWCD wants to know if the E-IGHWMO still supports the LCW at the full amount identified in the workplan. SWCD anticipates that the actual hours spent on the program will be more than a typical year.

Motion by Workman-Jesness, second by Lencowski to fund the LCW program at the full amount in the 2020 workplan. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

VII. Website Redesign

The SWCD is working with 144Design to update their website. Currently the WMO websites are housed within the SWCD website. It is an opportunity for the WMOs to update and create their own domain if desired. A new domain and full website redesign would cost E-IGHWMO \$4,225 for the first year, plus annual maintenance fees which are about \$700 higher than currently budgeted annually.

Motion by Saito, second by Lencowski to work through the SWCD and 144Design for a new website. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

VIII. Master Water Stewards Program

Discussion on whether E-IGHWMO should take on the coordination of the MWS program which is currently done by the City of Eagan. City of Eagan would like to lessen their role and time commitment. Time demand for volunteers is also very high, and hard for people to complete. Recruiting is difficult, and cost is high. There is a need to keep current MWS engaged as well. City of Eagan has been hosting an annual meeting, we should continue this, but who takes this on? WMO should support current MWS but not sponsor more participants. Has anyone asked participants to pay more of their participation fee so as to make them more invested? Paying more may have opposite affect and push more people away. Suggestion to Freshwater as the program developer would be to have tired MWS levels.

Motion by Reymann, second by Workman-Jesness to maintain current support of MWS projects but not take on sponsorship of the program. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

IX. Draft 2021 Budget

No decision was made at the last meeting as discussion on the MWS program needed to occur first. **Motion by** Workman-Jesness, second by Lencowski to shift MWS coordination to website, for a total of \$4,000 in website. Motion carried.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

X. Volunteer Clean-up Ideas

The group had discussion on ideas, likely for next year and not this year due to covid19. Focus on neighborhood lakes, could be monthly rotate throughout watershed or one big yearly event. Focus could also be on stormdrains. If bigger scale, the City of Eagan could facilitate collection in the water. City already has a park clean-up support program where the City supplies bags and picks up filled bags. Canoes or kayaks supplied could be an incentive for people to participate.

XI. Master Water Stewards Activity Updates

Sharon attended Wild Ones conference, otherwise covid19 has dampened efforts. Joe took a salting class and is certified. Abby Grommesch, a MWS publishes a newsletter for her watershed seasonally. This is the type of activity current MWS should be doing if possible, maybe ask Abby to host a how-to meeting.

XII. Community Updates

Eagan presented water quality trends to their public works staff. Trends show progress. Adjusting city code for Lower MN Watershed District updates. Staff have still been monitoring construction activity. Some staff still remote, others reporting for field work. Monitoring will still occur but had to skip May. Starting plant harvesting next week on three lakes. Cancelled Lakefest but will be doing virtual fishing clinics and provide discount on fishing gear at local stores. One rainbarrel has been donated back to the WMO from last year's sales. It can be used as a demo rainbarrel. There were approximately 40 pre-orders placed but program was cancelled for 2020.

Inver Grove Heights- Part-time stormwater staff is now full-time and is maintaining many raingarden and infiltration basins. Record high water levels are causing issues with shorelines and affecting some properties. In dry years, structures were built by homeowners, but they are below the ordinary high-water level, protected by easements and structures should not be there. Overflows and system designs are as important as ever.

XIII. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

- Brainstorm on lake clean-up
- Newsletter training by Abby
- 1W1P for Lower MN
- WBIF updates
- Keep virtual option

XIV. Adjournment

Motion by Saito, second by Workman-Jesness to adjourn the meeting. Meeting adjourned at 7:45 pm.

Manager	Vote
Joe Reymann	Yes
Sharon Lencowski	Yes
Sarah Saito	Yes
Jennifer Workman-Jesness	Yes

III. C. Year-to-Date Financial Summary

E-IGHWMO 2020		Actual Revenues						
ESTIMATED REVENUES	Budget	Jan 1 - Feb 4 2020	Feb 5 - Apr 21 2020	Apr 22 - Jun 16 2020	Jun 17 - Aug 18 2020	Aug 19 - Oct 20 2020	Oct 21 - Dec 8 2020	Year-to-Date Totals
Member City Assessments								
Eagan	\$44,340.00		\$44,339.71					\$44,339.71
Inver Grove Heights	\$1,285.00		\$1,285.29					\$1,285.29
Watershed Based Funding Grant								
50% initial amount	\$62,080.00							\$0.00
40% upon expenditure of initial	\$49,664.00							\$0.00
10% upon grant completion	\$12,416.00							\$0.00
Other	\$0.00							\$0.00
Use of Fund Balance	\$7,975.00							\$0.00
TOTAL	\$177,760.00	\$0.00	\$45,625.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$45,625.00
ESTIMATED EXPENSES	Budget							
Work Program								
A. File Annual Activity Report, Finance Report and Audit	\$4,500.00				\$3,200.00			\$3,200.00
B. Publish/Distribute Annual Newsletter or Communication	\$300.00							\$0.00
C. Maintain Web Site	\$1,000.00	\$220.00	\$690.00		\$290.00			\$1,200.00
D. Board Education	\$500.00							\$0.00
E. Implement Watershed Plan								
1. Support Existing Programs	\$18,800.00	\$7,500.00			\$4,800.00			\$12,300.00
2. WMO Education and Outreach Programs	\$3,000.00		\$119.00					\$119.00
Organizational Administration								
Staff Services (general)	\$20,000.00	\$4,809.25	\$3,890.00		\$3,250.00			\$11,949.25
Engineering and Consulting Services (general)	\$4,000.00							\$0.00
Legal Consulting Services (general)	\$500.00							\$0.00
Watershed Based Funding Grant								
Administration	\$6,208.00	\$62.65	\$721.73					\$784.38
Projects	\$117,952.00	\$44,598.00						\$44,598.00
Watershed Plan Reserve	\$1,000.00							\$0.00
TOTAL	\$177,760.00	\$57,189.90	\$5,420.73	\$0.00	\$11,540.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$74,150.63
Balance	\$150,349.88 ¹	\$93,159.98	\$133,364.25	\$133,364.25	\$121,824.25	\$121,824.25	\$121,824.25	-\$28,525.63
1 = 2019 Balance Carry Over								



**Dakota County Soil & Water
Conservation District**

**4100 220th Street West, Ste 102
Farmington, MN 55024**

Invoice

DATE	INVOICE #
7/20/2020	3009

BILL TO

Eagan-Inver Grove Heights WMO
C/O Eric Macbeth
3501 Coachman Point Road
Eagan, MN 55122

Reference

TERMS

ITEM CODE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
	April - June 2019			
E-IGH WMO	ADMINISTRATION: General Administration, Financial Tasks, Board Meeting Coordination (June 16). COVID-19 Updates. Dakota County Groundwater Plan Compatibility with WMO Plan. DOT Rules Inquiry, IGH Development Inquiry. Fish Lake Homeowner Emails. Met Council/BWSR Planning Survey and Research. Rainbarrel Program Coordination. Website Re-Design Proposal. Watershed Based Implementation Funding Coordination and Meetings. Prepare Information for Website Updates/Annual Report, Grants, and Programs.	39	80.00	3,120.00
E-IGH WMO	Paper, Printing, Postage.		50.00	50.00
E-IGH WMO	GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE: Drainage Inquiry Emails (Thwaites)	1	80.00	80.00
E-IGH WMO	EDUCATION AND OUTREACH ASSISTANCE: Website Updates and Maintenance.	3	80.00	240.00
E-IGH WMO	Website Hosting Fee (Quarterly).		50.00	50.00
E-IGH WMO	TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE: Landscaping for Clean Water Workshops Virtual		1,600.00	1,600.00
E-IGH WMO	Landscaping for Clean Water Design Classes Virtual		3,200.00	3,200.00
			Total	\$8,340.00

PETERSON COMPANY LTD

570 Cherry Drive
Waconia, MN 55387
(952) 442-4408
www.pclcpas.com

Eagan-Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management
Organization
4100 220th St. West, Suite102
Farmington, MN 55024

Date: 7/21/2020

Invoice Number: 22654
Client: 2008431

For professional services rendered as follows:

Preparation of audited financial statements for December 31, 2019 \$3,200.00

Invoice Total: \$3,200.00
Prior Balance: \$0.00
Current Amount Due: \$3,200.00

Please return this portion with payment.

2008431
Eagan-Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management
Organization

Date: 7/21/2020
Invoice Number: 22654

Invoice Amount: \$3,200.00
Due Upon Receipt

Circle One: VISA Master Card Discover

Card # _____ Exp: _____

Three Digit Security Code: _____

Signature: _____ Amount Enclosed: _____

IV. Watershed Based Implementation Funding

Watershed Based Implementation Funding – Lower MN Criteria

Category: Projects

"Projects" definition		
	On-the-ground BMPs that provides quantifiable water quality benefit (e.g. sediment, nutrients)	
Gatekeeper Question		
	Project readiness (e.g. feasibility study completed, identified in a subwatershed assessment, easement/land already available, and/or landowner willingness)	Y/N
Criteria		Points
1	Project or practice identified in TMDL implementation plan (impaired waters) or 319 9-elements Plan or WRAPS implementation table or Plan goals/priorities	5
2	Project addresses source of pollutants and has benefit to downstream waters	4
3	Provides protection for unimpaired waters close to the water quality standard (e.g. lakes/streams), drinking water, or unique resources (e.g. fens, trout streams)	5
4	Provides water quantity and peak flow benefits (e.g. mitigates flooding issues, provides upland water retention/storage, restores wetlands, increases baseflow (where needed), or reduces flashiness of stormflow)	4
5	Implement land stewardship/conservation on private lands	1
6	Prioritized projects from subwatershed or similar analysis (to get at cost effectiveness)	2
Total possible points		21

Category: Studies

"Studies" definition		
	Leads to a decision on feasibility and detailed project information of future implementation projects or programs	
Criteria		Points
1	Identified in TMDL implementation plan/WRAPS or 319 Nine Elements Plan or Plan goals/priorities	5
2	Study helps prioritize/target BMPs	5
3	Builds upon previous studies	2
4	Addresses water quantity/flooding (secondary benefit)	3
Total possible points		15

Category: Education

Criteria		Points
1	Beneficial for all partners or Watershed wide benefits	4
2	Results in products (video, brochure, etc.)	3
3	Provides a unique/innovative approach to outreach and partnerships	3
4	Has an identifiable and focused target audience or includes outreach to underrepresented communities	4
5	Results in measurable change of behavior, attitudes, or stewardship	5
Total possible points		19

DRAFT Funding Buckets - \$829,075

	Example 1		Example 2		Example 3	
Category	Percentage	Dollars	Percentage	Dollars	Percentage	Dollars
Feasibility/Studies	10%	\$82,908	10%	\$82,908	20%	\$165,815
Education/Outreach	10%	\$82,908	20%	\$165,815	5%	\$41,454
On-the-ground projects	80%	\$663,260	70%	\$580,353	75%	\$621,806
On-the-ground projects - CIP (larger, public works/infrastructure)	53%	\$442,394	47%	\$387,095	50%	\$414,745
On-the-ground projects - Stewardship (smaller, cost share w/maintenance contracts)	27%	\$220,866	23%	\$193,257	25%	\$207,061

IV. Watershed Based Implementation Funding Continued

Project Submission Information

Header	Explanations
Project* Number	Just an easy way to refer to a proposed project during discussions
Entity Requesting Funding (Grantee)	The party responsible for implementing the terms of the grant agreement with BWSR
Fiscal Agent (if different than Grantee)	The entity that will receive grant funds with a State-issued vendor number through the MN Department of Administration, Materials Management Division (MMD).
Name of Project	
Project Description	Include a short description of the project and the issue the project is addressing
Water Resource	Identify the priority water resource this project is targeting for water quality protection or restoration
Grant Funds Requested	Amount of grant funds you are requesting
Non-State Match	Amount of Non-State match - note it needs to be at least 10% of the amount of grants funds requested
Total Project Cost	Grant funds requested + other sources
Proposed Measurable Outcome(s) of Project	Outcomes such as pollution reduction estimates should be numerical (e.g. x tons of sediment reduced per year) when possible. When numerical outcomes are not possible, outputs (e.g. feasibility study completed or number of outreach events) may be included.
Plan Reference	Identify the specific plan reference by organization, plan title, section, and page number. Note that eligible activities must be identified in the Implementation Section of your approved plan. Provide weblinks to all referenced plans.
Supplement Existing Funding	The Constitutional Amendment requires that Amendment funding must not substitute traditional state funding. Briefly describe how this project will supplement and not substitute for existing funding.
Additional Comments	If you want to note something else about your project and there wasn't enough room in the project description

7.0 Amendments to the Plan

This Watershed Management Plan provides direction for the Eagan-Inver Grove Heights management activities through the year 2025. The Board may initiate amendments to the Plan at any time based on new requirements, policies, programs, or practices.

The Board will annually review the Implementation Plan and Capital Improvements Program (CIP), which may require future minor or major plan amendments. The Plan provides annual estimates for the period 2016-2020, and general programs, projects and costs for 2021-2025 activities. One or more future plan amendments may be necessary to amend the Implementation Plan to provide more specificity for the second five years of the Plan.

7.1.1 Amendment Procedures

All amendments to the Plan except minor amendments shall adhere to the full review and process set forth in Minnesota Statutes 103B.231, and this section. The Board shall adopt proposed major plan amendments upon their approval by the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) in accordance with Minnesota Statutes 103B.231. The amendment procedure for minor plan amendments shall be in accordance with Minnesota Rules 8410.0140 as such rules now exist or as subsequently amended.

7.1.2 Form of the Amendment

Unless the entire document is redone, all adopted amendments adopted must be in the form of replacement pages for the Plan, each page of which must conform to the following:

1. Show deleted text as stricken and new text as underlined.
2. Be renumbered as appropriate.
3. Include the effective date of the amendment on each page.

8410.0140 PLAN AMENDMENTS.

Subpart 1. Amendment section.

A. Each plan must contain a section entitled "Amendments to Plan" containing the year the plan extends to and establishing the process by which amendments, as defined in this part, may be made and who may initiate the amendments.

B. A plan must extend at least five years but no more than ten years from the date the board approves the plan.

C. An organization must evaluate the implementation actions in its plan with the annual activity report under part 8410.0150, subpart 3, item E, at a minimum of every two years. If changes to the implementation actions are necessary as a result of the evaluation, then a plan amendment is required unless otherwise provided under subpart 1a.

Subp. 1a. **Changes not requiring an amendment.** These changes to plans must be distributed according to subpart 5 with a version showing deleted text as stricken and new text as underlined. Amendments to plans are not required for changes such as:

- A. formatting or reorganization of the plan;
- B. revision of a procedure meant to streamline administration of the plan;
- C. clarification of existing plan goals or policies;
- D. inclusion of additional data not requiring interpretation;
- E. expansion of public process; or
- F. adjustments to how an organization will carry out program activities within its discretion.

Subp. 2. **Amendment procedure.** All amendments to a plan must adhere to the review process provided in Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.231, subdivision 11, except when the proposed amendments are determined to be minor amendments according to the following provisions:

A. the board has either agreed that the amendments are minor or failed to act within five working days of the end of the comment period specified in item B unless an extension is mutually agreed to with the organization;

B. the organization has sent copies of the amendments to the plan review authorities for review and comment allowing at least 30 days for receipt of comments, has identified the minor amendment procedure is being followed, and directed that comments be sent to the organization and the board;

C. no county board has filed an objection to the amendments with the organization and the board within the comment period specified in item B unless an extension is mutually agreed upon by the county and the organization;

D. the organization has held a public meeting to explain the amendments and published a legal notice of the meeting twice, at least seven days and 14 days before the date of the meeting; and

E. the amendments are not necessary to make the plan consistent with an approved and adopted county groundwater plan.

Subp. 3. [Repealed, 40 SR 6]

Subp. 4. **Form of amendments.** Draft and final amendments may be sent electronically. A receiving entity may request to receive an amendment in paper format. Draft amendments must show deleted text as stricken and new text as underlined. Unless the entire document is redone, all final amendments adopted by the organization must be in the form of replacement pages for the plan with each page renumbered as appropriate and each page including the effective date of the amendment.

Subp. 5. **Distribution of amendments.** Each organization must maintain a distribution list of agencies and individuals who have received a copy of the plan. An organization shall distribute copies of amendments to all on the distribution list and post the amendments on the organization's website within 30 days of adoption.

Statutory Authority: *MS s 103B.101; 103B.211; 103B.227; 103B.231; 103B.235; 103B.239*

History: *17 SR 146; 40 SR 6*

Published Electronically: *September 10, 2018*

V. Watershed Management Plan Amendments Continued

Table 5.1. Eagan-Inver Grove Heights Watershed Management Plan Implementation Plan.

	Goal/Policy	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Expenses:											
OPERATING EXPENSES											
<i>Work Program</i>											
Regulatory Program (completed by the cities)	A(a), A(b), A(c), B(a), B(b), B(c), B(d), C(a),D(b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monitoring Program (completed by the cities)	B(d), D(a)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Communication and Outreach Program	A(d), B(e), B(f), C(d), E(a), E(b), E(c), E(d)										
Newsletter or Communication		300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Develop/Maintain Web Site		1,600	1,600	1,600	1,650	1,650	1,700	1,700	1,750	1,750	1,800
Communication and Outreach		4,500	4,500	6,000	6,000	7,500	7,500	9,000	9,000	9,500	9,500
Landscaping for Water Quality Workshops		4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
Landscaping for Water Quality Cost Share		6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
<i>Administrative</i>											
Staff services (general)		19,000	19,000	19,500	19,500	20,000	20,000	20,500	20,500	21,000	21,000
Annual report, financial report, and audit		5,000	5,000	5,200	5,200	5,400	5,400	5,600	5,600	5,800	5,800
Engineering consulting services (general)		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,500	5,500	5,500	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,500
Legal consulting services (general)		500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE		\$46,400	\$46,400	\$48,600	\$49,150	\$51,350	\$51,400	\$54,100	\$54,150	\$55,350	\$55,900
Revenues:											
Member Dues		\$38,400	\$46,375	\$48,575	\$49,125	\$51,325	51,375	54,075	54,125	55,325	55,875
<i>Eagan</i>		37,317	45,067	47,205	47,740	49,877	49,926	52,550	52,599	53,765	54,299
<i>Inver Grove Heights</i>		1,083	1,308	1,370	1,385	1,448	1,449	1,525	1,526	1,560	1,576
Interest & Dividends		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
(To) from Reserve		7,975	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE		\$46,400	\$46,400	\$48,600	\$49,150	\$51,350	\$51,400	\$54,100	\$ 54,150	\$ 55,350	\$55,900
Capital Improvement Program:											
<i>Eagan:</i>											
Lake Protection Actions	A(d), B(a), B(e), E(a), E(c), E(d)	\$515,000	\$1,210,000	\$250,000	\$120,000	75,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lake TMDLs Load Reduction Projects	A(d), B(a), B(e), E(a), E(c), E(d)	-	682,000	1,864,000	2,234,200	3,205,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MS4 Maintenance Requirements	B(a)	407,500	172,500	1,089,500	506,500	242,500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE		\$407,500	\$2,064,500	\$3,203,500	\$2,860,700	\$3,522,500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Capital projects are funded 100% by the member cities, supplemented by grants or other sources when available.

N/A: The City of Eagan has not developed a CIP for the period 2021-2025.